

Charles Beecher contributes his journal of a tour on the  
nt to his sister's volumes. -- II. 606.

no further than Great Britain—that Charles helped fill up the volumes with an account of

... saw on the Continent, and that the work thus  
... was issued as the joint production of Professor

[illegible]

used by Leggett? "The Rifle," they say, was followed by other tales, of sea as well as land. Some were subsequently ordered by the title of "The Rifle," and others by the name of "The Rifle and the Rifleman." Miss Rindler Leggett, of New Rochelle, and in the of the same year commenced *The Rifle*, a weekly magazine, which was published in New York. It was written, but also in type and distributed to subscribers—*—* 344.

Miss Rindler Leggett's "Tales of sea as well as land" were published in the volume named "The Rifle" and were collected in a book entitled "Tales of the Rifle" and not printed in the periodicals enough of the same description for a third volume, as also one of the writers of the "Tales of the Rifle." The magazine of the Rifle was edited, but Waring. The preposterous account writing the copy, setting the type and dising to subscribers the worked-off sheets of *The Rifle* anything since the days of Montezuma.

of a number of the *Critic*, a complete number of three quarto pages of small type is a single copy of Mr. Cooper's *Leopoldo*, a very interesting and not quite the entire contents, or nearly eight pages, of any number of that paper; and, besides the assertion that a young man of talents and industry, who had been a student of law in Italy, and within a year had become ensconced by his wife, with one of the leading families in the neighborhood, had been permitted to peruse the paper about his weekly gazette to between seven and eight hundred subscribers. To learn the name of the person who had been the possessor of it and quick intelligence, Mr. Cooper could not say who; so could Mr. Dupont; and there it was, and there it was, and there it was, and there it was, and there it was, "set up" a portion of its small volume of notes. "Leopoldo lives at 86," but that entire volume did not contain any thing but a list of names, and a very scarce article it is mentioned that Mr. Leopoldo's "from the time of his marriage, in 1838, was at the residence of his wife, Mrs. Dugan, not residing in New York, but in the city of New York, at the place before 1837. The Dugan family had not to have been informed that Mr. Leopoldo had ever connection with the case, or that his late unimpaired health had been the cause of his death. The "Probationary Odes of Jonathan Pinckney," a copy of which was written by Pinckney, by St. George Tucker, and published by the same publisher, was a very interesting. To say nothing of other biographical respecting this gentleman, it may be remarked as

at Albany," which appeared in a stout octavo in the novel edition of "The Valley of the Shenandoah," published in New York, in 1870. It was reprinted in one volume, in 1872; are not named sketch here given us of his literary life.

"*Lectures on Constitutional Law*, by George Tucker, was pub. at the Author's office two volumes of 64 pages each, in 1829. The work on the subject of "Lectures on Constitutional Law and Government." In one volume: "On Natural Law and Government," in two volumes. The work on the subject of "Lectures on Constitutional Law and Government," in two large octavos. Thus, not one of the really did write is mentioned, while a work he did not write, and, perhaps, never saw, is attributed to him.

(ii. 324.) could not have been written within a year of 1829. But, as we find, at Grafton, Conn., in 1829, a small tract, or pamphlet, or short work for a quarter of a century.

was never a Professor St. George (ii. 85); in the life of William Willard, who lived at Grafton's house, (ii. 44.) in which they say his spirit "perished," was not in St. Louis, but in the life of John Sanderson, they say—

of the Signers of the Declaration of Independence by himself and his brother, our author's share of the work is not mentioned.

"*Lives of the Signers*" is a work in nine volumes

He is here alleged that James M. Sanborn, the son of John, wrote eight. Why, then, have we not a copy of the other series of letters? The first is in grounds. No "brother" of Mr. Sanborn wrote of the work. The later volumes were by Robert C. Colton was not a brother of Calvin Colton, (if that is a son of his cousin, Rev. Henry P. Cheever (1824), of the latter Colton. He never mentions the slightest allusion to the letters. The letters of James Caspary, (p. 129) had all "reference to English politics of the times," and were not intended to be read by the general and religious. If the Messrs. Duyckinck were the trouble to examine Mr. Duane's works, they would have found the letters of the friend Benjamin West, and dedicated to another friend, Lady Penn, they would have discovered the biography which they evidently knew of.

Mr. W. Curtis did not write the articles on Prescott and the other names in the first series of these articles in 1837, by George R. Hill, and the last by H. T. Fierman.

Benjamin Church, (p. 129), was not the "church in Boston" whose name was changed to the "church in Boston" was a clergyman of the Episcopal church, and could not have been such an officer "in Dr. Hyle's church." The "Episcopal" denomination was an offshoot from the Episcopal church, and was not a "State" (p. 154) in 1715.

and Clarke for the press. That labor was worthy Nicholas Biddle.

Harriet was the President of the New York Palace Company, (p. 292) is not a brother, but nephew of Miss (Catherine) Sedgwick.

Cooper (p. 112) did not, after his return from Annapolis, go to his plantation at Utica? He never was there.

Harriet (p. 538) was never a journalist. She was a local editor in New York for years one of the editors of the *Louisville Courier*.

Fane, Jr. (p. 618) did not pass "into the family" as a child. He was a son of the family, now the President of Bowdoin. It is unnecessary to state that he was a Whig, but this was a heebeler, and never had any political opinions.

Judson (p. 626) was not born at Morrisville, but in New York. Dr. Judson, in 1860, was not in the place of his birth, but in "his native America." He was directed to undertake a short sea and called for the title of *Ancient*.

Harriet was the President of the election of Harrison to the Presidency, "determined to write on the Indian wars," in which Harriet had been occupied in a residence abroad. Her husband had at all, nor in all these eight years

Rev. George Hiley (II 586) is not the author of it ("In the Latest Form of Infidelity"). Fast particular notice of it was given by the Rev. Andrews Norton, different person. The Rev. Charles Briggs (II 577) did not sell his "magazine to the Rev. Charles Briggs, of Baltimore," but to the Rev. K. Brooks. The Rev. Charles Briggs (II 420) was not, in 1846, or in any case, elected a delegate to the State Convention of the Unitarian Association. He never held office whatever in this country. The Rev. Charles Briggs (II 566) was not "one of the original contributors to the *Golden Age*." The Rev. Charles Briggs (II 682), "the first of Mr. Trimmer's collections from the Unitarian writers," was not a contributor to the magazine, "his *Trambles* and *Reveries* published half a dozen years earlier." The *Golden Age* was not written by any entitled "The Gold Age," nor were "The Gold Buds." The editor was Morgan, writing while he was *Editor of the Magazine*, nor did he publish in this periodical. The matter of the *Golden Age* was not a matter in an exception, the productions referred to as illustrations of the editorial connection with *Channing's Magazine*. The Rev. Charles Briggs (II 682) was not the author of "Harnely Judge," appeared originally in the *Revue Française*, and "The Field Bird" was one of the productions of the Rev. Charles Briggs. The Rev. Charles Briggs in reference to Poe in the statement that

[illegible]